Emma Dietsch
Lisbon High School
Lisbon, IA USA
Ethiopia, Renewable Sources
August 31, 2026

**Ethiopia: Using Renewable Sources in Rural Communities**

Ethiopia is located in the Horn of Africa and is home to approximately 136 million people, making it the second-most populated country on the African continent. The country operates as a federal democratic republic with a parliamentary system established by its 1995 constitution. In recent decades, Ethiopia has been recognized as one of Africa's fastest-growing economies and is often described as an "emerging giant" due to its rapidly increasing population and expanding economic sectors. Despite this progress, the benefits of development have not been evenly distributed across the population. Roughly 20% of Ethiopians live in urban areas, while nearly 80% reside in rural regions where infrastructure and economic opportunities are limited.

Many rural communities still face serious challenges, including food insecurity, limited access to healthcare, inadequate sanitation, and unreliable electricity. These issues create a cycle of poverty that is difficult for families to escape. Ethiopia has made major investments in renewable energy to support economic growth and improve living standards. However, the expansion of renewable energy, especially hydropower and solar, has not always reached rural households effectively. Although national energy production is increasing, many communities remain disconnected from the electrical grid. This paper explores the lifestyle of a typical Ethiopian family, the agricultural and economic systems that support the country, and the challenges created by unequal renewable energy access. It also examines a practical solution: using agricultural biomass energy at the community level to improve rural electrification and economic development.

A typical Ethiopian family consists of four to five members and often lives in a close-knit extended household. Family structures tend to follow a patriarchal style, where elders are highly respected, and major decisions are guided by older male family members. Strong cultural traditions emphasize cooperation, community support, and shared responsibilities among relatives and neighbors. This sense of interdependence is especially important in rural communities, where families rely on each other for farming assistance, childcare, and resource sharing.

Food and agriculture play a central role in Ethiopian culture and daily life. The traditional Ethiopian diet is built around injera, a fermented sourdough flatbread made from the grain teff. Teff is a tiny, ancient gluten-free grain often considered a superfood. Injera is typically served with a variety of stews known as wots, which are made from lentils, chickpeas, fava beans, vegetables, and spices such as berbere. Many Ethiopian meals are plant-based due to Orthodox Christian fasting traditions, which require followers to avoid animal products on many days of the year. As a result, pulses, leafy greens, and root vegetables are essential components of meals.

Common foods include lentils, chickpeas, collard greens, potatoes, sweet potatoes, and fermented grain products.

Most families obtain their food through subsistence farming, local markets, and community gatherings. Farming families grow staple crops such as teff, maize, wheat, sorghum, and barley, which provide the foundation of the national diet. Farmers typically cultivate these crops on small plots of land, averaging less than two acres in size. Nearly 40% of households manage farms that are smaller than one acre. These small farms must produce enough food to feed their families while also generating income through local markets to keep their households afloat.

Agriculture dominates the Ethiopian economy, employing about 70% of the workforce. While rural areas rely primarily on farming and livestock, urban residents often work in manufacturing, street vending, or small-scale trade. Income levels vary widely depending on location. Rural wages average around 8,544 Ethiopian birr per month in some regions, which is roughly equivalent to about $50 USD. In contrast, urban wages range between 14,611 and 21,743 birr per month, or approximately $90–$140 USD.

Infrastructure differences between rural and urban areas are significant. Rapid population growth in cities has created pressure on housing, transportation, and sanitation systems. However, urban areas still have far better access to electricity, education, and healthcare than rural communities. Nationwide, approximately 60 million people lack access to clean drinking water, and more than 110 million lack adequate sanitation services. Electricity access is also uneven, with around 94% of urban residents connected to power compared to only about 44% of rural households. Education has improved in recent years, with school enrollment reaching approximately 85% of children. However, many students drop out early or receive low-quality education due to overcrowded classrooms and limited resources. Healthcare presents another challenge. Medical services can be expensive in cities and difficult to access in rural areas where clinics may be far away or underfunded. These factors contribute to persistent poverty, food insecurity, and economic pressure for many Ethiopian families.

Despite its economic progress, Ethiopia faces major challenges in providing reliable energy access for its entire population. The country has invested heavily in renewable energy infrastructure and currently generates almost all of its electricity from renewable sources. Hydropower accounts for the majority of this energy production, with several major dam projects constructed along Ethiopia’s rivers. The most substantial hydropower dam currently in use is the Grand Ethiopian Renaissance Dam, which is expected to greatly increase Ethiopia’s electricity generation capacity and position the country as a regional energy exporter.

While large-scale projects like this represent important progress, they also highlight the challenges of relying heavily on a single renewable resource. Hydropower is highly dependent on rainfall and river flow, meaning that droughts can significantly reduce electricity production. Climate change and changing weather patterns have increased uncertainty about long-term hydropower reliability.

Uneven distribution of energy infrastructure is a main problem in Ethiopia. Although electricity production is increasing, many rural communities remain disconnected from the national grid.

Transmission lines are expensive to build in remote areas, and governments often prioritize urban centers with higher population density and concentrated economic activity. As a result, rural households continue to rely on traditional fuels such as firewood, charcoal, and crop residues for cooking and heating. The use of these traditional fuels has several negative consequences. First, it contributes to deforestation as families harvest wood from nearby forests for cooking. Second, burning biomass indoors produces smoke and air pollution that can contribute to respiratory problems, particularly among women and children who spend more time near cooking fires. Finally, the time spent collecting firewood reduces the time available for education, employment, or other productive activities.

Large renewable energy projects can also create unintended social impacts. Some hydroelectric dams require the relocation of communities or disrupt traditional farming and grazing lands. Farmers may lose access to natural resources they depend on for survival. In some cases, communities have limited involvement in planning processes and may receive inadequate compensation for lost land or livelihoods. Other countries in East Africa, including Kenya, Sudan, South Sudan, Djibouti, and Somalia, face similar renewable energy challenges. These nations often rely on climate-sensitive energy sources while struggling with infrastructure limitations and financial constraints. Although Ethiopia has the potential to act as a renewable energy leader in the region, addressing rural energy gaps remains necessary for achieving developmental goals within the country.

Ethiopia must diversify its renewable energy sources and develop systems that better serve rural communities. Rather than attempting to solve the country's entire energy problem at once, the most feasible approach would be to begin with a small-scale agricultural biomass energy pilot program in a selected rural farming community. This approach would use resources already available in the community, particularly agricultural residues and livestock manure, to produce useful energy locally.

Ethiopia produces large quantities of agricultural waste each year because of its extensive farming sector. Agricultural residues such as maize stalks, sorghum straw, wheat straw, coffee husks, and livestock manure represent a significant source of potential bioenergy. Estimates suggest that Ethiopia has more than 140 million tons of biomass energy potential, although much of this resource is not currently used efficiently.

The recommended approach would focus on one rural community rather than immediately attempting to implement a biomass program throughout Ethiopia. The first community should be selected based on several factors, including low electricity access, a strong agricultural economy, sufficient agricultural residues or livestock manure, and community support for the project. Regions such as Oromia, Somali, Afar, and Tigray could eventually be considered for projects because many rural communities in these regions face significant infrastructure and energy-access challenges. However, the first project should be limited to one community so that its effectiveness and costs can be evaluated before expansion.

The project would begin with a community assessment. Local leaders, farmers, energy specialists, government officials, and community members would determine how much agricultural waste is available and how much energy the community needs. This would prevent

the project from removing too much crop residue from fields or creating an energy system that cannot produce enough fuel to operate effectively.

The primary technology should be selected based on the resources available in the individual community. In a community with large amounts of livestock manure and organic waste, a small anaerobic digestion system could produce biogas for cooking or electricity generation. In a community with abundant crop residues, a small-scale biomass gasification system could convert agricultural waste into a combustible gas that can be used to generate electricity. The goal would not be to introduce every possible biomass technology at once. Instead, the community would use the single technology that best matches its available resources.

This approach is more feasible than immediately focusing on pelletization, pyrolysis, torrefaction, or several other technologies at the same time. Pelletization requires equipment for drying, grinding, and compressing biomass, and transporting the finished pellets can add high costs. Pyrolysis and torrefaction can provide useful products but require more specialized equipment and technical expertise. A small biogas or gasification system can be located close to the source of agricultural waste and the people who need the energy, reducing transportation requirements.

The process would begin by collecting agricultural residues or livestock manure from participating farms. The material would be transported a short distance to a community processing facility. The biomass would then be converted into energy using the selected technology. Electricity could initially be directed toward essential community services such as a school, health clinic, water-pumping system, and agricultural processing equipment. Once those needs were met and the system proved reliable, electricity could be expanded to additional households and businesses.

Implementation would occur in several stages. The first stage would be planning and community participation. Before construction begins, farmers and community members should have an opportunity to explain their needs and concerns. Community participation is important because the people who provide the biomass and use the electricity should have a role in deciding how the project operates.

The second stage would involve constructing the small-scale biomass facility and establishing a collection system. Farmers could bring crop residues or manure to a collection point, or the project could organize local transportation. A system would need to be established to determine how farmers are compensated for materials they provide.

The third stage would involve training local workers. Community members could be trained to collect biomass, operate equipment, perform basic maintenance, and identify problems. Developing local technical skills would reduce dependence on outside workers and create employment opportunities within the community.

The fourth stage would be evaluating the project. Important measurements would include the amount of electricity produced, number of households and public facilities served, cost of energy

production, amount of agricultural waste used, jobs created, farmer income, and changes in reliance on firewood or charcoal. The project should also evaluate environmental effects and make sure that enough crop residue remains in fields to maintain soil health.

Funding would likely require a combination of sources. The initial construction could be supported by Ethiopian government programs, international development organizations, renewable-energy grants, climate-finance programs, nonprofit organizations, and private investors. A public-private partnership could allow government or development organizations to help finance the initial infrastructure while a private company or community cooperative operates the facility.

Farmers should not be expected to finance the entire project themselves. However, they could contribute agricultural residues and receive payment or another benefit in return. This would create an economic incentive for farmers to participate while helping provide the facility with a consistent supply of biomass.

Farmers would be some of the most important stakeholders because they would provide the agricultural materials used to produce energy. In the short term, farmers could receive additional income from crop residues or livestock waste that previously had little economic value. In the long term, reliable electricity could improve agricultural productivity by supporting irrigation, crop processing, refrigeration, and other equipment.

Rural households would benefit from improved access to electricity and potentially reduced dependence on firewood and charcoal. Families could have more reliable lighting and energy for household activities, while reducing the amount of time spent collecting traditional fuels. Schools would benefit from reliable electricity for lighting, computers, communication, and other educational resources. In the long term, improved access to electricity could support better educational opportunities for students. Health clinics would benefit from reliable electricity for lighting, refrigeration, communications, and medical equipment. This could make rural healthcare more dependable and accessible.

Local businesses could use electricity for equipment, refrigeration, agricultural processing, and other economic activities. This could encourage new businesses and help existing businesses expand. Local governments would benefit from increased economic activity and improved infrastructure, but they would also have responsibilities for oversight, environmental protection, and ensuring that the project serves the community fairly. Energy companies could provide technical expertise, equipment, and management. These companies could also benefit by developing experience with decentralized renewable-energy systems that could eventually be used in other communities. International development organizations and climate-finance programs could support the project through funding and technical assistance. Their investment could contribute to both rural development and renewable-energy goals.

In the short term, the primary impact would be providing reliable energy to essential community facilities. A school could receive electricity for lighting and technology, a health clinic could have more dependable power, and water pumps could operate more consistently. The project could also create jobs in collecting, transporting, processing, and managing agricultural biomass.

Farmers could receive additional income from agricultural residues, while the community could reduce some dependence on firewood and charcoal. These changes could improve living conditions without requiring the immediate construction of expensive national transmission infrastructure.

The long-term effects could be much broader. Reliable electricity could support economic development by allowing businesses to operate machinery, farmers to use irrigation and processing equipment, and students to have greater access to educational resources. Improved energy access could also contribute to better healthcare and communication.

If the first community demonstrates that the system is financially and environmentally sustainable, the model could be expanded to other rural communities. Instead of constructing one massive project designed to solve the entire country's rural electricity problem, Ethiopia could develop a network of smaller community energy systems designed around the resources and needs of individual communities.

However, the recommendation should be implemented carefully. Biomass is renewable, but it is not automatically sustainable. Removing excessive crop residue from fields could reduce soil organic matter and negatively affect agricultural productivity. For this reason, the project should only collect the amount of agricultural residue that farmers can safely remove while maintaining healthy soil. Food production must remain a priority, and farmers should not be encouraged to replace food crops with crops grown specifically for energy.

Environmental protections would also be necessary. Biomass systems should use efficient conversion technologies and appropriate emissions controls to reduce air pollution. Transportation distances should be kept short so that the energy and financial costs of moving biomass do not outweigh the energy produced.

Ethiopia does not need to develop this approach without learning from other countries. Kenya provides an important comparison because many rural communities there have used decentralized renewable-energy systems, including solar systems and mini-grids, to provide electricity in locations where extending the national grid is difficult or expensive. Ethiopia could apply the same general principle to biomass: energy production can occur closer to the communities that need it.

India also provides a useful comparison because of its large agricultural population and experience using livestock waste and agricultural materials for energy. Community and household biogas systems demonstrate how agricultural waste can be converted into useful energy while producing a material that can potentially be returned to agricultural land.

These comparisons show that Ethiopia does not necessarily need a completely new energy technology. Instead, the country can improve the way existing resources are used. Rural Ethiopian households already depend on biomass for cooking and heating. The problem is that traditional burning is often inefficient and can create indoor air pollution. A community-scale

system would take materials that are already available and convert them into energy more efficiently and productively.

A nationwide biomass program would require enormous amounts of funding, transportation infrastructure, technical expertise, and government coordination. It would also be difficult to determine whether a single system would work equally well in Ethiopia's many different climates, agricultural regions, and communities.

A local pilot provides a more practical alternative. A single community can test the technology, determine the true cost of collecting biomass, identify maintenance problems, measure environmental effects, and determine whether farmers and households are willing to participate. If the project fails, the financial and social consequences would be much smaller than those of a nationwide program. If it succeeds, the lessons learned could be used to improve future projects.

The local approach also allows Ethiopia to recognize that rural communities do not all have the same needs. One community may have large amounts of livestock manure and benefit from biogas, while another may produce large quantities of crop residues and be better suited for gasification. A successful national strategy should therefore consist of community-specific projects rather than one identical system imposed across the entire country.

Ethiopia is a country with enormous renewable-energy potential and a rapidly growing economy. However, millions of rural residents still lack reliable access to electricity, clean water, healthcare, and basic infrastructure. Large hydropower and solar projects have increased national energy production, but increasing national electricity production does not automatically guarantee that rural families will receive reliable power.

Agricultural biomass offers a practical opportunity because it builds on Ethiopia's strongest existing resource: its agricultural economy. Rather than attempting to immediately use every possible biomass technology or solve the energy needs of the entire country, Ethiopia should begin with a community-scale pilot program using agricultural residues and livestock manure to produce local energy.

The most feasible approach would be to select a rural agricultural community with low electricity access and sufficient biomass resources, develop a small energy facility suited to that community, train local workers, and connect the system first to essential services such as schools, health clinics, water pumps, and agricultural businesses. Funding could come from a combination of government programs, international development organizations, climate finance, private investment, and community participation.

The short-term benefits would include improved electricity access, new jobs, additional income opportunities for farmers, and better services for schools and health clinics. The long-term benefits could include stronger rural economies, improved agricultural productivity, better education and healthcare, and a more diversified and climate-resilient energy system.

Ethiopia does not need to completely replace its existing renewable-energy strategy. Instead, agricultural biomass should complement hydropower, solar, and other renewable sources. Lessons from countries such as Kenya and India demonstrate the potential of decentralized renewable-energy systems and the importance of adapting energy solutions to local conditions.

Ultimately, the goal should not be to create one solution for all of Ethiopia. The goal should be to create a successful model in one community, learn from the results, improve the system, and then expand it to other communities when appropriate. By starting locally, involving the people who will use and supply the energy, and carefully balancing energy production with food security and environmental protection, agricultural biomass could become a realistic tool for improving rural electrification and economic development in Ethiopia.

Works Cited

44. “Energy Resource Guide - Ethiopia - Renewable Energy.” *International Trade Administration | Trade.Gov*, www.trade.gov/energy-resource-guide-ethiopia-renewable-energy#:~:text=Executive%20Summary:,by%20approximately%2030%25%20per%20year. Accessed 05 Mar. 2026.

“Agriculture in Ethiopia.” *Wikipedia*, Wikimedia Foundation, 21 Feb. 2026, en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Agriculture_in_Ethiopia#:~:text=Agriculture%20accounts%20for%2036%25%20percent,Traditional%20farming%20tools%20in%20Ethiopia. Accessed 06 Mar. 2026.

“BTI 2024 Ethiopia Country Report.” *BTI 2024*, bti-project.org/en/reports/country-report/ETH#:~:text=Ethiopia%20employs%20a%20system%20of,than%20their%20nationality%20(Ethiopian). Accessed 06 Mar. 2026.

“Education in Ethiopia.” *Https://Www.We.Org*, www.we.org/en-US/our-work/we-villages/education-around-the-world/education-in-ethiopia. Accessed 05 Mar. 2026.

*Ethiopia Climate Risk Country Profile*, climateknowledgeportal.worldbank.org/sites/default/files/2021-05/15463A-WB_Ethiopia%20Country%20Profile-WEB.pdf. Accessed 06 Mar. 2026.

“Hunger Stalks Ethiopia as UN Aid Agency Halts Support amid Funding Cuts | UN News.” *United Nations*, news.un.org/en/story/2025/04/1162456#:~:text=The%20WFP’s%20Country%20Director%2C%20Zlatan%20Milisic%2C%20warned,now%20and%20September%20to%20maintain%20its%20operations. Accessed 06 Mar. 2026.

*Impact of Foreign Aid on Economic Growth of Ethiopia - Girum Dagne, 2024*, journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/00194662231213583. Accessed 05 Mar. 2026.

*Land Constraints and Agricultural Intensification in Ethiopia: A Village-Level Analysis of High-Potential Areas - Sciencedirect*, www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0306919214000220. Accessed 06 Mar. 2026.

Lee, Yujin, and Chuan Liao. “Determinants of Solar Energy Access in Urban and Rural Areas of Ethiopia: Implications for Equitable Climate Transitions.” *Nature News*, Nature Publishing Group, 5 Jan. 2026, www.nature.com/articles/s44168-025-00328-0#:~:text=Ethiopia%20also%20exemplifies%20the%20broader,momentum%20to%20formal%20programs24. Accessed 05 Mar. 2026.

Machines, Wood Pellet. “Making Your Own Biomass Pellets from Cotton Stalk.” *Wood Pellet Mill Supplier. Biomass Pellet Machines*, 14 Dec. 2018, www.wood-pellet-mill.com/solution/cotton-stalk-pellets.html#:~:text=Stalk%20pellet%20making%20machine%2C%20also,before%20pelletizing%2C%20the%20raw%20materials. Accessed 05 Mar. 2026.

Mareesays et al. “Travel to Ethiopia: Everything You Need to Know.” *Against the Compass*, 20 Feb. 2026, againstthecompass.com/en/travel-ethiopia/#:~:text=Beyanet,eat%20each%20and%20every%20day. Accessed 05 Mar. 2026.

Nicholc. “Embark on an Exploration of Ethiopian Food.” *GIG® Gluten Intolerance Group®*, 1 Oct. 2021, gluten.org/2021/09/29/embark-on-an-exploration-of-ethiopian-food/#:~:text=Most%20Ethiopian%20cuisine%20features%20whole,fish%20in%20some%20Ethiopian%20dishes. Accessed 05 Mar. 2026.

Pier. “Ethiopian - Family.” *Cultural Atlas*, culturalatlas.sbs.com.au/ethiopian-culture/ethiopian-culture-family. Accessed 06 Mar. 2026.

Published by Statista Research Department, on Nov 29. “Ethiopia: Agricultural Land 2020.” *Statista*, 29 Nov. 2025, www.statista.com/statistics/1303945/agricultural-land-in-ethiopia/#:~:text=Ethiopia%20had%20nearly%2038.5%20million,in%20the%20period%20under%20review. Accessed 06 Mar. 2026.

“Religious, Ethnic, and Regional Factors of High Fertility in Ethiopia.” *Population Reference Bureau*, 21 Jan. 2026, www.prb.org/resources/religious-ethnic-and-regional-factors-of-high-fertility-in-ethiopia/#:~:text=The%20fertility%20transition%20is%20hindered,less%20access%20to%20essential%20services. Accessed 05 Mar. 2026.

*Report No: Aus0002202 . Ethiopia Employment in Urban and Rural Ethiopia* ., documents1.worldbank.org/curated/en/717091632198808079/pdf/Employment-in-Urban-and-Rural-Ethiopia.pdf. Accessed 05 Mar. 2026.

“Strengthening Sustainable Wash Access and Resilience in Ethiopia.” *World Bank*, World Bank Group, 23 Oct. 2025, www.worldbank.org/en/results/2025/10/23/strengthening-sustainable-wash-access-and-resilience-in-ethiopia#:~:text=Challenge,financial%20sustainability%20of%20these%20services. Accessed 05 Mar. 2026.

Vinola Vincent Munyon, Recipe Co-Curator. “Customs & Cuisine of Ethiopia - Together Women Rise.” *Together Women Rise* -, 13 Apr. 2023, togetherwomenrise.org/customsandcuisine/customs-cuisine-of-ethiopia/#:~:text=Ethiopian%20cuisine%20is%20as%20diverse,infused%20with%20garlic%20and%20ginger. Accessed 05 Mar. 2026.